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Manakins produce iridescent and bright feather colours without melanosomes

Branislav Igić*, Liliana D’Alba and Matthew D. Shawkey

ABSTRACT

Males of many species often use colourful and conspicuous ornaments to attract females. Among these, male manakins (family: Pipridae) provide classic examples of sexual selection favouring the evolution of bright and colourful plumage coloration. The highly iridescent feather colours of birds are most commonly produced by the periodic arrangement of melanin-containing organelles (melanosomes) within barbules. Melanin increases the saturation of iridescent colours seen from optimal viewing angles by absorbing back-scattered light; however, this may reduce the wide-angle brightness of these signals, contributing to a dark background appearance. We examined the nanostructure of four manakin species (Lepidothrix isidorei, L. iris, L. nattereri and L. coeruleocapilla) to identify how they produce their bright plumage colours. Feather barbs of all four species were characterized by dense and fibrous internal spongy matrices that likely increase scattering of light within the barb. The iridescent, yet pale or whitish colours of L. iris and L. nattereri feathers were produced not by periodically arranged melanosomes within barbules, but by periodic matrices of air and β-keratin within barbs. Lepidothrix iris crown feathers were able to produce a dazzling display of colours with small shifts in viewing geometry, likely because of a periodic nanostructure, flattened barb morphology and disorder at a microstructural level. We hypothesize that iridescent plumage ornaments of male L. iris and L. nattereri are under selection to increase brightness or luminance across wide viewing angles, which may potentially increase their detectability by females during dynamic and fast-paced courtship displays in dim light environments.
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INTRODUCTION

Colouration has diverse functions and can communicate information such as an individual’s quality, aggression level, genetic make-up or toxicity (Ruxton et al., 2004; Pryke and Griffith, 2009; Shi et al., 2015; Young et al., In Press). Sexual selection is the primary evolutionary driver of ornamentation among animals, and has produced some of the most extraordinary colours in nature (Kirkpatrick and Ryan, 1991; Price et al., 1993; Andersson, 1994). Evolution of conspicuous and elaborate colour patterns is amplified, while others are attenuated as light travels through materials that periodically vary in refractive index (i.e. air, β-keratin and melanin; Greenewalt et al., 1960; Stavenga et al., 2011a; Maia et al., 2011; Eliason et al., 2013). Independent of the nanoscale arrangement of melanosomes, some species produce weakly iridescent colours through quasi-ordered nanostructures of β-keratin and air within feather barbs (Noh et al., 2010a). However, these nanostructures require a basal layer of melanosomes to produce visible colour (Shawkey and Hill, 2006) and their iridescence is not visible under natural light conditions (Osorio and Ham, 2002; Noh et al., 2010a). Although the β-keratin cortex of
feather barbs can produce weak iridescence through thin-film interference without the contribution of melanosomes, this effect is only dominant at micro-scales and likely contributes minimally to the coloration seen at visually relevant spatial scales (Stavenga et al., 2011b).

Directional reflection of colour is a common property of many strongly iridescent feathers. For example, the spectacular iridescent patches of many species [e.g. hummingbirds, the ribbon-tailed astrapia (Astrapia mayeri) and the magnificent riflebird (Ptiloris magnificus)] reflect bright, saturated and iridescent colours in specific directions (often in specular or mirror-like directions), while appearing dark or cryptic at other angles or diffusely (Osorio and Ham, 2002; Doucet and Meadows, 2009). This directional reflection of colour is due to planar morphologies of colour-producing barbules that reflect light in specific directions (e.g. the flat or boomerang-shaped barbules of P. lawesii; Stavenga et al., 2011a, 2015). By contrast, a curved barbule morphology reduces both the magnitude of colour change and the angle dependence of iridescent feather colours, so that colour can be seen from a wider range of viewing angles (Dyck, 1987; Yoshioka and Kinoshita, 2002). Melanosomes also likely play an important role in increasing the directionality of highly iridescent feathers. By absorbing light that is diffusely scattered or reflected, melanosomes increase the saturation of iridescent feather colours (Yoshioka and Kinoshita, 2002; Xiao et al., 2015) and contribute to their dark background appearance, as suggested by Brink and Van Der Berg (2004). In turn, this may explain the rarity of iridescent feathers with a pale background appearance (similar to coloration of white opals).

Male manakins (Pipridae) use some of the brightest plumage colours among birds to attract females (Kirwan and Green, 2011). Manakins comprise a diverse clade of small frugivorous birds that contains 42–57 species (depending on taxonomic classification) distributed across the Neotropics (Prum, 1990; Rêgo et al., 2007; Ohlson et al., 2013). Males of most species females within leks using elaborate colours, strange and unique sounds, and stereotyped movements (Prum, 1990, 1998; Endler and Theriy, 1996; Durães, 2009; Kirwan and Green, 2011; Lukianchuk and Doucet, 2014). Males in the genus Lepidothrix display bright colours on their crown and rump feathers (Fig. 1), such as brilliant whites, vibrant blues, golds and iridescent opal-like colours (Kirwan and Green, 2011; present study). Despite considerable interest in the function and evolution of coloration within this family of birds (Endler and Theriy, 1996; Doucet et al., 2007; Ribeiro et al., 2015), characterization of their coloration and examinations of colour production mechanisms have primarily focused on non-iridescent blues and greens (Saranathan et al., 2012). Here, we examined and compared the coloration and colour production mechanisms across plumage ornaments of four Lepidothrix species, primarily focusing on two species with iridescent plumage but, unusually, with white or pale coloured background appearances.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We examined the crown and rump feather colours of four different Lepidothrix manakins (Fig. 1): brilliant white crown feathers of the blue-rumped manakin [L. isidorei (Sclater 1852)]; opalescent crown feathers of the opal-crowned manakin [L. iris (Schinz 1851)]; pinkish-white rump feathers of the snow-capped manakin [L. nattereri (Sclater 1865)]; and blue rump feathers of the cerulean-capped manakin [L. coeruleocapilla (Tschudi 1844)]. All feathers were kindly provided by the Field Museum of Natural History (Chicago, IL, USA).

Fig. 1. Study species and feathers. Photographs of (A) Lepidothrix isidorei (photo credit: Ben Sadd) and (B) L. iris (photo credit: Marcelo Barreiros), as well as (C) L. isidorei crown, (D) L. iris crown, (E) L. nattereri rump and (F) L. coeruleocapilla rump feathers. Scale bars, 0.5 mm (C–E).

Reflectance measurements

We measured specular and diffuse spectral reflectance of feathers between 300 and 700 nm. For each measurement, we flattened single feathers by taping their calamus to low reflective black velvet fabric and oriented the feathers such that the incident light beam hit the pennaceous barbs at a proximal to distal orientation. We measured specular reflectance between 10 and 50 deg from coincident normal at 5 deg increments at two different locations per feather using a spectrometer equipped with two fibres that rotate independently from one another (Igic et al., 2015); one fibre was connected to a light source (AvaLight-XE pulsed xenon light, Avantes, Broomfield, CO, USA) and the other fibre was connected to a spectrometer (AvaSpec-2048 spectrometer). We measured specular reflectance at coincident normal and back-scattering at 45 deg using a bifurcated probe and a block holder (AFH-15), and measured diffuse reflectance collectively across all possible angles at three different locations per feather using an integrating sphere with a black gloss trap to exclude specular reflectance (Av Sphere-50-REFL). To examine the scattering processes responsible for producing the different reflectance peaks, we used Glan-Thompson linear polarizers (Harrick Scientific Products, Pleasantville, NY, USA) to identify whether reflected light was either parallel (co-polarization) or perpendicular (cross-
polarization) to polarized incident light (Noh et al., 2010a,b). Using this experimental setup, reflected light that is scattered only once will maintain co-polarization, whereas a double scattering process would cause reflected light to be both co- and cross-polarized (Noh et al., 2010a,b). All reflectance measurements were taken relative to a diffuse white standard (WS-2, Avantes).

**Examination of feather structure**

To identify the mechanisms of colour production, we examined the structure of whole feathers and feather barbs using light, scanning electron and transmission electron microscopes. We primarily focused our attention on the structure of barbs, rather than barbules, because barbules were either absent from colour producing regions or greatly reduced in size and fused to the underside of barbs (Fig. 1; see Results). For light microscopy, we used a Leica S8AP0 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a MicroPublisher 5.0 RTV FireWire camera (Q Imaging, Surrey, BC, Canada). To prepare samples for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), we fragmented barbs using a scalpel and mounted them onto aluminium stubs to allow visualisation of cross-sections, which we then coated with gold/palladium for 3 min. The estimated sputter coating thickness was 12 nm based on cross-sections, which we then coated with gold/palladium for electron microscopy (SEM), we fragmented barbs using a scalpel and imaged using a JSM-7401F SEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan), at a working distance of 7 mm, and an accelerating voltage of 7 kV. To prepare samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM), we first washed fragmented barbs in 100% ethanol for 20 min twice, and then immersed the washed fragments in successive concentrations of 15, 50, 70 and 100% Epon (diluted using 100% ethanol) for 24 h (Shawkey et al., 2003). Barbs were then placed in moulds to allow sectioning of both cross-sections and transverse sections, and were cured in an incubator for 24 h at 60°C. We cut 100 nm thick sections using a Leica EM UC6 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems), which we placed on 200 mesh, formvar-coated copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) and imaged using a JEOL JEM-1230 TEM operating at 120 kV. We examined the spatial ordering of the barb nanostructure using the fast Fourier transform (FFT) tool in ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). We acknowledge the limitations of using TEM images and 2D FFT to test assumptions about the 3D structures of biological nanostructures (Shawkey et al., 2009, 2012), and therefore use this only as an exploratory tool to examine the potential for nanostructural periodicity.

**Optical modelling**

The internal nanostructures of *L. iris* and *L. nattereri* barbs resembled those of hexagonally packed inverse opals. Therefore, we used a modified equation based on Bragg’s and Snell’s laws to estimate the peak wavelength of reflectance produced by a close-packed hexagonal nanostructure (Aguirre et al., 2010):

\[
\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1.633D\sqrt{n_{\text{eff}}^2 - \sin^2 \theta},
\]

where \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) is the wavelength with maximum reflectance, \(D\) is the average void spacing, \(n_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective refractive index of the material and \(\theta\) is the angle of specular reflection. For \(D\), we used the average distance between void centroids (mean±s.e.m., 257±3.9 and 307±3.9 nm for *L. iris* and *L. nattereri*, respectively), which we measured as an average nearest neighbour distance between centroids of 58–69 voids on TEM images (149–162 individual nearest neighbour distances). The effective refractive index can be calculated using:

\[
n_{\text{eff}} = \varphi n_{\text{voids}} + (1 - \varphi)n_{\text{walls}},
\]

where \(\varphi\) is the solid fraction of the material, and \(n_{\text{voids}}\) and \(n_{\text{walls}}\) are the respective refractive indices of the spherical voids and the solid walls of the material. We estimated \(\varphi\) using the binary function of ImageJ to calculate the relative proportions of walls relative to voids on a section of the barb on TEM images. Excluding the cortex and the cell vacuoles, the solid walls contributed to approximately 72% of the barbs’ internal structure for both *L. iris* and *L. nattereri*. For the refractive indices of the voids and the solid walls, we respectively used the refractive index of air (\(n=1\)) and β-keratin (\(n=1.56\); Stavenga et al., 2015). Although this simple theory can estimate the wavelength of peak reflectance of isotropic hexagonally close-packed nanostructures, it cannot be used to estimate any other spectral characteristics of materials and cannot take into account the curvature and cortex thickness of bars, nor the variability in the nanostructure (Table 1), all of which could affect the spectral properties of these feathers. In addition to the equations for a hexagonally close-packed structure, we used optical equations published in Xiao et al. (2014) to test how well the predictions of 1D multilayer structure fit the measured spectra for *L. iris* and *L. nattereri*. Given their organised 3D structure, a 1D multilayer model was unlikely to explain the reflectance properties of these feathers. However, we see value in presenting these predictions to provide comparison with those produced by the equations for hexagonally close-packed structures. Our 1D multilayer calculations were based on cortex thicknesses of 518 and 740 nm (Table 1); air layer thicknesses of 146 and 250 nm; keratin layer thicknesses of 104 and 98 nm (all respectively for *L. iris* and *L. nattereri*); 15 layer stacks, which was the approximate number of keratin/air layers between the edge and centre of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Iridescent</th>
<th>Average cortex thickness (nm)</th>
<th>% Air vacuole</th>
<th>Average diameter of air voids (nm) (CV)</th>
<th>Average aspect ratio of air voids (CV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. isidorei</em></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>320 (12.8)</td>
<td>1.2 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. nattereri</em></td>
<td>Pinkish white</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>287 (9.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. coeruleocapilla</em></td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>157 (16.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. iris</em></td>
<td>Opalescent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>252 (11.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% Air vacuole is measured as the percentage of barb cross-section area composed of air vacuoles; air void diameter is measured as the average diameter along the major and minor axes of 120 individual voids on TEM images; aspect ratio is measured as the ratio of minor to major axis diameters of 120 individual voids on TEM images. CV, coefficient of variation (%).

*Iridescent under uni-directional light, but potentially not under omni-directional light (Noh et al., 2010a).
RESULTS
Almost all the manakin feathers examined here displayed angle-dependent specular coloration and broad diffuse reflectance (Fig. 2, Fig. S1). *Lepidothrix iris* crown feathers and *L. nattereri* rump feathers appeared iridescent to the human eye (Fig. 1, Movie 1). Their spectra showed peaks that shifted to narrower wavelengths with increasing angles of specular reflection (Fig. 3), and uniform reflectance across integrated diffuse angles (Fig. S1). By contrast, *L. isidorei* crown feathers had broad reflectance at both specular and diffuse geometries, and the *L. coeruleocapilla* rump feathers had blue and UV peaks in both specular and diffuse reflectance geometries (Fig. 2, Fig. S1). Both the *L. iris* crown feathers and the *L. nattereri* rump feathers had secondary peaks at shorter wavelengths, which, unlike their primary peaks, lost their polarization (peaks were present in both co- and cross-polarization), suggesting that they were produced by either higher-order scattering or diffraction (Figs S2, S3) (Noh et al., 2010b). The *L. iris* crown feathers displayed dramatic colour changes with subtle changes in viewing geometry (Movie 1). They appeared yellowish-green at specular geometries (peak reflectance changed from 599 to 499 nm with increases in specular angle), and appeared blue (peak reflectance: 420 nm) or purple (peak reflectance: 600 nm for the primary peak and 367 nm for the secondary peak) at non-specular viewing geometries (e.g. blue when incident light and observation angles were at 45 deg and surface normal, respectively; and purple when the feather was tilted at 50 deg with incident light and observation angles at surface normal and 15 deg, respectively; Fig. 3, Fig. S2).

The bright coloration of these manakins’ feathers was associated with dense internal barb nanostructures, absence or limited distribution of light-absorbing melanosomes, and a flattened barb morphology for *L. iris* feathers (Figs 4, 5, Fig. S4). The white bars of *L. isidorei* and *L. nattereri* lacked barbules at their distal ends, whereas *L. coeruleocapilla* and *L. iris* bars contained short, flat and melanised barbules at the distal ends (Figs 4, 5, Fig. S4). Barbs of all species comprised medullary cells, which contained central air-filled vacuoles and dense spongy networks of β-keratin at their exteriors, conforming to the sphere-type category for feather barb nanostructure (Fig. 5) (Prum, 2006; Saranathan et al., 2012). The central air-filled vacuoles comprised a larger portion of *L. isidorei* crown feather bars compared with the other species examined here (Table 1). *Lepidothrix isidorei* and *L. nattereri* bars lacked melanosomes, whereas *L. iris* feathers had a restricted distribution of melanosomes along one edge of their bars (Figs 4, 5, Fig. S4). *Lepidothrix coeruleocapilla* feathers had a broad distribution of melanosomes within their bars and barbules (Fig. 5, Fig. S4).

The degree of spatial ordering of their internal nanostructures (Fig. 5, Fig. S5) varied across the four manakin species. *Lepidothrix iris* barb nanostructures had a hexagonally packed structure characteristic of inverse opal materials, but lacked long-range order (Fig. 5, Fig. S5). *Lepidothrix nattereri* and *L. coeruleocapilla* barbs had similar structures near their barb cortex but with lower spatial order relative to *L. iris* bars (Fig. 5, Fig. S5). The *L. isidorei* barbs showed the least spatial ordering among the species examined (Fig. 5, Fig. S5). The diameter of voids differed across the four species, and none of the species had perfectly spherical or...
identically sized voids (Table 1), indicating that none of the feathers had an isotropic nanostructure. Despite their apparent absence of long-range order (Fig. S5), the peak reflectance predicted by optical calculations for 3D inverse-opal like structures matched the measured peak reflectance for both *L. iris* and *L. nattereri* feathers, and better than optical calculations for a 1D multi-layer structure (Fig. 6). As could be predicted from their ordered 3D structure, a 1D multi-layer model was insufficient in explaining the spectral properties of these feathers (Fig. 6). The ellipsoid shapes and size variance of the air voids within their nanostructures (Table 1) likely resulted in the small discrepancies between their observed peak reflectance and that predicted using optical calculations for 3D inverse-opal structures (Fig. 6). Removing the barbules did not visibly affect the coloration of *L. iris* feathers, whereas crushing the barb structure caused their barbs to become translucent, confirming the structural origin of their coloration (Fig. S6).

**DISCUSSION**

The conspicuous and bright plumage colours of four *Lepidothrix* manakins were associated with dense and fibrous internal barb nanostructures. The four species studied here differed in overall barb shape, the degree of periodicity and distance between periodic structures within the barb’s internal spongy β-keratin matrix, and the presence and distribution of melanosomes. The dense internal networks of β-keratin and air create many interfaces for light scattering, which in turn would increase the overall brightness (the total reflectance across all visible wavelengths) of diffusely reflected light (Dyck, 1979; Hanrahan and Krueger, 1993; Shawkey and Hill, 2005). The internal nanostructure of *L. iris* barbs is similar to barbs of several other species, including other manakins (present study; Saranathan et al., 2012), but is more periodic. This periodicity, in combination with a flat barb morphology and limited presence of melanosomes, produced highly directional and strongly iridescent coloration on a pale background for *L. iris* feathers.

Although we detected angle-dependent colour changes for three of the four manakin species, only *L. iris* crown feathers are clearly iridescent under natural light conditions. Structural blue colours produced by a quasi-ordered nanostructure, similar to that of *L. coeruleocapilla* rump feathers, can show iridescence under the directional light of a spectrometer, but not under natural light conditions, because of the isotropic nature of the spongy matrix and the prevalence of back-scattered light (Osorio and Ham, 2002; Noh et al., 2010a). Although the periodicity of *L. nattereri* barbs was similar to that of *L. coeruleocapilla*, their reddish and iridescent highlights are seen by human vision at particular viewing angles,
and therefore are possibly also visible to female manakins under natural light conditions. Interestingly, *L. nattereri* rump feathers have been described as brilliant white without reference to their iridescence (Kirwan and Green, 2011), suggesting that there may be geographic or sub-species variation in the degree or presence of the iridescent quality of their plumage. Alternatively, the absence of barbules to structure *L. nattereri* barbs into a uniform plane and a rounded barb morphology may reduce or mask the presence of these highlights when observing the plumage patches as a whole. Therefore, the presence of visible iridescence in this species requires further investigation using whole specimens. By contrast, the pure and brilliant white coloration of *L. isidorei* crown feathers is associated with a dense and disorganized internal nanostructure that likely causes wavelength-independent scattering of light to dominate (e.g. Vukusic et al., 2007).

The *L. iris* crown feathers produced diverse colours with small changes in viewing geometry independently of melanosome arrangement (Movie 1). To our knowledge, they provide the first reported example of feathers with highly directional iridescence produced independently of melanosomes or melanosome arrangement. The highly directional iridescence of other bird species’ feathers studied to date is produced by the crystalline arrangement of melanosomes within barbules, which may be hollow or solid (Greenewalt et al., 1960; Stavenga et al., 2011a; Maia et al., 2011; Eliason et al., 2013). The *L. iris* crown feathers had a limited and ventral distribution of melanosomes that did not affect coloration when removed; their iridescent quality was produced by the periodic arrangement of air voids and β-keratin matrix within the medullary layer of feather barbs. Similar inverse-opal structures have been shown to produce iridescent and opalescent colours in invertebrate taxa using air voids within a chitin matrix, most notably in butterflies and beetles (Welch and Vigneron, 2007; Seago et al., 2009). Depending on the angle of observation, *L. iris* crown feathers could appear white, yellowish-green, blue or purple. These angle-dependent colour changes were associated with a combination of short-range hexagonal nanostructural periodicity, a flattened barb shape, long-range disorder imposed by the presence of vacuoles at the centre medullary cells, and a restricted distribution of melanosomes. For example, diffraction of light by the periodically arranged air and β-keratin matrix likely produced the yellowish-green colour observed at specular geometry and was further enhanced by a flattened barb morphology. By contrast, blues and purples are perceived at non-specular angles, and therefore likely produced by higher-order diffraction (e.g. Eliason et al., 2013) or double scattering from a quasi-ordered nanostructure (e.g. Noh et al., 2010a). Light scatterometry, small-angle X-ray scattering and finite-difference time-domain modelling (Saranathan et al., 2012; Wilts et al., 2014) are necessary to further clarify the exact production mechanisms of colours observed at non-specular angles.

Producing iridescent colours without melanosomes potentially trades off richer angle-dependent colours for iridescent signals that appear brighter across a wider range of viewing angles. For example, including carbon black as a light-absorbing agent within structural coloured films composed of colourless colloidal particles increases their colour saturation through absorption of multiply scattered light; however, this occurs at the expense of reduced total reflectance over human visible wavelengths (Takeoka et al., 2013; Ohtsuka et al., 2015). The absence of melanosomes (and hence washing out of colour because of increased multiple scattering) may explain why the *L. nattereri* rump feathers appear pinkish, rather than the saturated structural reds produced using melanosomes (e.g. Greenewalt et al., 1960; Eliason et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2014). *Lepidothrix nattereri* rump feathers may appear purple or pink, rather than red, if on a darker melanised background (Magkiriadou et al., 2014) because they lacked long-range order and had secondary peaks near blue wavelengths. Although *L. iris* barbs contained flat melanised barbules on their posterior side, and a...
restricted distribution of melanosomes within the barb cortex, the melanosomes appeared to contribute little to colour production as coloration persisted on unmelanised regions of barbs even after removal of the barbules. The limited posterior distribution of melanosomes on L. iris barbs may absorb some of the backscattered light and increase purity of colours to some extent (Shawkey and Hill, 2006; Zhang et al., 2015). The higher refractive index contrast between β-keratin and air (1.56 versus 1), compared with that between β-keratin and melanosomes (1.56 versus 1.7; Leertouwer et al., 2011; Stavenga et al., 2015), may also increase the scattering of light within the nanostructure and contribute to a paler appearance; however, this hypothesis requires further investigation.

Our findings suggest that the plumage ornaments of some Lepidothrix manakins are under selection to maximize their brightness across all possible viewing geometries, resulting in production of iridescent colour signals with pale backgrounds. Increasing the total reflectance across all visible wavelengths (achromaticity) and viewing angles may increase the detectability of these traits by females as males fly around in dim forest light conditions and increase achromatic contrast with other body regions or with the environment (Marchetti, 1993; Doucet et al., 2007). Birds, like most animals, process achromatic and chromatic components of colour signals differently, and achromatic components are particularly important in detection of motion, form and patterns (Osorio and Vorobyev, 2005; Kemp et al., 2015), and therefore could be more important during the dynamic and fast-paced aspects of a male manakin’s display. Although the courtship displays of the species examined here are not well described, their displays can involve extremely quick flights between several locations (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8XiIRcvbTbQ, accessed 21 March 2016). Intriguingly, the iridescent plumage patches of both L. iris and L. nattereri showed primary peaks at longer wavelengths, which may be particularly important in luminance perception (Osorio and Vorobyev, 2005). Similar highly directional, bright flashes of colours are important components of courtship displays in other bird species, such as in birds-of-paradise (family Paradisaeidae; e.g. Stavenga et al., 2011a; Wilts et al., 2014).

It is unclear why these types of pale opalescent colours are not more common among birds. Although several other species are described as having opalescent plumage (Tangara callophrys and T. velia; http://neotropical.birds.cornell.edu/portal/species/identification?p_p_spp=611116; http://neotropical.birds.cornell.edu/portal/species/identification?p_p_spp=610956, accessed 21 March 2016), it is still unclear whether these species possess spectral properties or production mechanisms similar to those of the manakins described here. These ordered nanostructures within barbs may be physiologically more difficult to produce than melanosome-based iridescent colours within barbules. For example, the highly periodic arrangement of β-keratin and air may be difficult, if not impossible, to achieve through the passive self-assembly processes of phase separation that likely produce the quasi-ordered nanostructures of some feather barbs (Saranathan et al., 2012). Block copolymers, formed through linkage of polymerized monomers, readily phase separate into highly ordered nanostructures (Smart et al., 2008). Thus, perhaps keratin undergoes additional processing to form block copolymers during development in some manakin feathers; however, this is speculative and more work is needed to test these hypotheses. Furthermore, to better understand the function of these ornaments, future work should examine how these colour patches appear to a female in relation to natural light conditions and the male’s movements during display.
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Summary: Feathers of two species of Lepidothrix manakin produce iridescence on a pale coloured background using an inverse opal-like matrix of air and keratin.
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