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**Analysis in this paper**

- Economic consequences of oil shocks across a set of very diverse industrialised countries
  - Oil and energy-importing: **US**, **Euro area**, **Japan** and **Switzerland**
  - Oil and other forms of energy-exporters: **Norway** and **Canada**
  - Oil-exporter but importer of other forms of energy: **UK**
  - Oil-importer but exporter of other forms of energy: **Australia**

- Three different perspectives
  1. Cross-country effects of different types of oil shocks
  2. A closer look at the oil transmission mechanism
  3. Has the impact changed over time? (see paper)
1. Macroeconomic effects of oil shocks

- Estimation of a benchmark SVAR model

\[ Y_t = c + A(L)Y_{t-1} + u_t \]

### Oil market variables
- Global oil production
- World crude oil price
- World economic activity

### Country-specific variables
- Real GDP
- Consumer prices
- Nominal interest rate
- Nominal effective exchange rate

- Sample period 1986Q1-2008Q1 with 3 lags
1. Macroeconomic effects of oil shocks

- **Not all oil shocks are alike**: we disentangle three types of oil shocks using sign restrictions
  - **Oil supply shocks** (e.g. production disruptions in oil-exporting countries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$O_{oil}$</th>
<th>$P_{oil}$</th>
<th>$Y_{wd}$</th>
<th>$Y_{j}$</th>
<th>$P_{j}$</th>
<th>$i_{j}$</th>
<th>$S_{j}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil supply shock</td>
<td>&lt;0</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
<td>≤0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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  - Oil-specific demand shocks (e.g. shifts in precautionary or speculative oil demand)
- **No restrictions** on country-specific variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$O_{oil}$</th>
<th>$P_{oil}$</th>
<th>$Y_{wd}$</th>
<th>$Y_j$</th>
<th>$P_j$</th>
<th>$i_j$</th>
<th>$S_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil supply shock</td>
<td>$&lt;0$</td>
<td>$&gt;0$</td>
<td>$\leq 0$</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
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1. Macroeconomic effects of oil shocks

- Impact of 10% oil supply shock on output
  - **Permanent and significant fall** in oil and energy-importing countries
    - Very sluggish reaction in Euro area and Switzerland compared to a quick fall in US and Japan
  - **Permanent increase** in countries that export both oil and other forms of energy: Norway and Canada
  - **Only a temporary decline** in countries exporting oil or other forms of energy: Australia and UK
1. Macroeconomic effects of oil shocks

- Impact of 10% **global activity shock** on output

  - Significant **transitory increase** of real GDP in all countries
    - Role of oil and energy in the economy does not matter for differences
    - Not surprising: even output in oil-importing countries could rise because country itself is in a boom or indirectly gains from trade with the rest of the world (cfr. oil price increase due to worldwide economic activity)
1. Macroeconomic effects of oil shocks

- Impact of 10% oil-specific demand shock on output
  - Significant temporary decline of real GDP in all countries
  - Role of oil and energy in the economy does again not matter for differences
2. Pass-through to inflation

- Extend the benchmark SVARs with additional variables one by one
- Focus on oil supply shocks and oil-importing countries

- Significant inflationary effects in oil and energy-importing countries
  - Considerable cross-country differences of magnitude
  - Speed of pass-through: US and Japan versus Euro area and Switzerland

- No significant impact on consumer prices in oil and/or energy-exporting countries (could be explained by an appreciation of effective exchange rate)
2. Pass-through to inflation

- Direct effects
  - Oil supply shock has a direct effect on consumer prices because oil (energy) is part of the index
    - **CPI energy** reacts significantly in all countries
  - If only direct effects are relevant, **core CPI** should not react
    - Is only the case in Japan
2. Pass-through to inflation

- **Cost effects**
  - Production costs of firms increase, which are passed on to prices of non-energy goods and services
  - For oil-importing countries: should only affect the **import deflator** and not the **GDP deflator** (domestic value added)
    - Significant impact on import deflator in all countries
    - US and Japan: no reaction of GDP deflator
    - Euro area and Switzerland: considerable rise of GDP deflator
2. Pass-through to inflation

- Second-round versus demand effects
  - GDP deflator positively affected by second-round effects
    - Employees demand higher wages, which are passed on to prices
  - GDP deflator negatively influenced by a fall in aggregate demand (see later)
  - Consider **nominal wages, real consumer wages and price-wage ratio**
    - **US**: loss in purchasing power entirely borne by employees
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2. Pass-through to inflation

- **Second-round versus demand effects**
  - GDP deflator positively affected by second-round effects
    - Employees demand higher wages, which are passed on to prices
  - GDP deflator negatively influenced by a fall in aggregate demand
  - Consider **nominal wages, real consumer wages and price-wage ratio**
    - **US**: loss in purchasing power entirely borne by employees
    - **Japan**: loss borne by producers, fall in price-wage ratio equal to wage rise
    - **Euro area**: purchasing power of employees constant, loss transferred to producers and higher prices (**second-round effects**)
    - **Switzerland**: price-wage ratio constant but considerable increase of nominal wages (**second-round effects**)

![Graphs of GDP deflator, price-wage ratio, real consumer wages, and nominal wages over time](image)
2. Pass-through to inflation

• Demand effects and impact on economic activity

To shed some light on these effects, we evaluated the response of GDP, consumption, investment and the interest rate.

– **Japan**: lack of interest rate reaction and absence of a loss in purchasing power in the long-run for consumers, results in insignificant reaction of private consumption and investment

– **US**: immediate fall in consumption (**income and precautionary savings effect**), but no significant reaction of investment and no interest rate response

– **Euro Area and Switzerland**: consumption and investment decline with a considerable delay, which can be explained by the strong and significant interest rate tightening (**monetary policy effect**).
3. Time-varying effects of oil shocks

• Has the impact changed over time?
  – *Macroeconomic structure* has changed
  – Structural changes in the *oil market*: Baumeister and Peersman (2008) document a considerably steeper or less elastic oil demand curve over time, which distorts comparisons over time

• However, *cross-country dimension* avoids this normalisation problem by comparing *relative changes*:

> *If the role and share of oil and energy in the economy is important for time variation: changes in effects over time should be more favourable for countries that improved their net oil and energy position the most over time*

→ Is indeed the case
Conclusions

• Economic consequences of oil shocks and associated monetary policy implications depend on the source of oil price shift
  – Oil supply shock: permanent fall of GDP in energy-importing countries, insignificant or even positive response in energy-exporting countries
  – Oil demand shock driven by economic activity: temporary increase of output in all countries
  – Oil-specific demand shock: transitory decline of output

• Pass-through to consumer prices very different across countries
  – No inflationary effects in energy exporters because of exchange rate appreciation
  – US and Japan: fast pass-through which is a combination of direct and cost effects
  – Euro area and Switzerland: slow and stronger pass-through because of the existence of second-round effects, strong monetary policy response

• Has the impact changed over time?
  – Countries that improved their net energy position the most over time became also relatively less vulnerable to oil supply shocks compared to other countries