

# Optimal control of linear systems with quadratic cost and imprecise forward irrelevant input noise

Alexander Erreygers, Jasper De Bock, Gert de Cooman and Arthur Van Camp

{alexander.erreygers, jasper.debock, gert.decooman, arthur.vancamp}@UGent.be

SYSTEMS research group, Ghent University, Belgium



## Linear systems

We consider a finite-state, discrete-time scalar linear system with a deterministic (known) current state  $X_k = x_k$ . For all  $\ell \in \{k, \dots, k_1\}$ , the dynamics of the system is described by

$$X_{\ell+1} = a_\ell X_\ell + b_\ell u_\ell + W_\ell. \quad (\text{DYN})$$

In this expression,  $a_\ell$  and  $b_\ell$  are real-valued parameters and the state  $X_\ell$  and noise  $W_\ell$  at time  $\ell$  are real-valued random variables. The control input  $u_\ell$  at time  $\ell$  is also real-valued.

**State feedback** Usually the control input  $u_\ell$  is taken to be some real-valued function  $\psi_\ell$  of the previous states  $x_{k+1:\ell} := (x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_\ell)$ , called a *feedback function*. As the current state  $x_k$  is known,  $\psi_k$  is a constant. We call a tuple of feedback functions  $\psi_{k:k_1} := (\psi_k, \psi_{k+1}, \dots, \psi_{k_1})$  a *control policy*. We use  $\Psi_{k:k_1}$  to denote the set of all control policies  $\psi_{k:k_1}$ .

**LQ cost functional** We measure the performance of a control policy  $\psi_{k:k_1}$  by means of the associated cost. For all  $k \in \{k_0, \dots, k_1\}$ , all  $\psi_{k:k_1} \in \Psi_{k:k_1}$  and all  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  we define the linear-quadratic (LQ) cost functional  $\eta$  as

$$\eta[\psi_{k:k_1}|x_k] := \sum_{\ell=k}^{k_1} r_\ell \psi_\ell(X_{k+1:\ell})^2 + q_{\ell+1} X_{\ell+1}^2,$$

where  $q_\ell \geq 0$  and  $r_\ell > 0$  are real coefficients.

## Precise noise model P

In order to model the noise  $W_{k:k_1} := (W_k, W_{k+1}, \dots, W_{k_1})$ , we consider an initial time  $k_0$ , let  $k_0 \leq k \leq k_1$ , and focus on modelling  $W_{k_0:k_1}$ .

**Precise noise model** We model our beliefs about  $W_{k_0:k_1}$  using conditional probability density functions: for all  $k \in \{k_0, \dots, k_1\}$  and all  $w_{k_0:k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-k_0}$ , we are given a conditional probability density function  $f_k(\cdot|w_{k_0:k-1})$ , and we use  $P_k(\cdot|w_{k_0:k-1})$  to denote the corresponding *conditional linear prevision operator* (expectation operator). It then follows from the *law of iterated expectation* that for any gamble  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{k_1-k+1}$ :

$$P_{k:k_1}(g|w_{k_0:k-1}) = P_k(P_{k+1}(\dots P_{k_1}(g|w_{k_0:k-1}, W_{k:k_1-1}) \dots |w_{k_0:k-1}, W_k)|w_{k_0:k-1}).$$

We assume that our conditional probability density functions are sufficiently well-behaved in order for the previsions in this expression to exist. We denote the set of all such precise noise models  $\mathbb{P}$  by  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**White noise model** In the literature, it is often assumed that the noise is *independent*. This means that all the conditional probability density functions (and associated linear previsions) are equal to marginal ones.

## Imprecise noise model P

**Imprecise noise model** Our beliefs about  $W_{k_0:k_1}$  are modelled by a set  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  of precise noise models. This definition allows us to use the results obtained in the precise LQ problem.

**Forward irrelevant noise model**  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be a forward irrelevant product if there are sets of marginal probability density functions  $\mathcal{Q}_k$ ,  $k \in \{k_0, \dots, k_1\}$ , such that  $\mathcal{P}$  is the largest subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  for which it holds that

$$f_k(\cdot|w_{k_0:k-1}) \in \mathcal{Q}_k$$

for all precise models  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ , all  $k$  in  $\{k_0, \dots, k_1\}$  and all  $w_{k_0:k-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{k-k_0}$ .

## Simulations

How do we choose which element of  $[\underline{h}_\ell, \bar{h}_\ell]$  to apply? We propose two possible options:

1. use the control policy that corresponds to a white noise model
2. lazily choose the  $h_\ell \in [\underline{h}_\ell, \bar{h}_\ell]$  that minimises  $|u_\ell|$ .

We ran two simulations to compare their performance

Small difference in cost, but the lazy control has more zero inputs  
→ more research is definitely necessary

## The precise LQ problem

**Local optimality** A control policy  $\psi_{k:k_1}$  is *locally optimal* for  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $w_{k_0:k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-k_0}$  if

$$\psi_{k:k_1} \in \text{loc-opt}_{\Psi_{k:k_1}}^P(\Psi_{k:k_1}|x_k, w_{k_0:k-1}) := \arg \min_{\psi_{k:k_1} \in \Psi_{k:k_1}} P_{k:k_1}(\eta[\psi_{k:k_1}|x_k]|w_{k_0:k-1}).$$

**Optimality** A control policy  $\psi_{k:k_1}$  is *optimal* for  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $w_{k_0:k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-k_0}$  if, for all  $\ell \in \{k, \dots, k_1\}$  and all  $x_{k+1:\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell-k}$ :

$$\psi_{k:k_1}(x_{k+1:\ell}, \cdot) \in \text{loc-opt}_{\Psi_{\ell:k_1}}^P(\Psi_{\ell:k_1}|x_\ell, w_{k_0:\ell-1}),$$

where  $w_{k_0:\ell-1}$  is derived from (DYN) and  $x_{k:\ell}$ . The set of all such optimal control policies is denoted by  $\text{opt}_{\Psi_{k:k_1}}^P(\Psi_{k:k_1}|x_k, w_{k_0:k-1})$ .

**Precise noise solution** For any current state  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and noise history  $w_{k_0:k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-k_0}$ , the set  $\text{opt}_{\Psi_{k:k_1}}^P(\Psi_{k:k_1}|x_k, w_{k_0:k-1})$  consists of a *single* optimal control policy. For any  $\ell \in \{k, \dots, k_1\}$  and  $x_{k+1:\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell-k}$ , it is given by

$$\hat{\psi}_\ell(x_{k+1:\ell}) = -\tilde{r}_\ell b_\ell (m_{\ell+1} a_\ell x_\ell + h_{\ell|w_{k_0:\ell-1}}). \quad (\text{OCP})$$

The parameters  $m_{\ell+1}$  and  $\tilde{r}_\ell$  are obtained from the initial condition  $m_{k_1+1} := q_{k_1+1}$  and the recursive *Riccati* equation  $m_\ell := q_\ell + a_\ell^2 m_{\ell+1} - \tilde{r}_\ell a_\ell^2 b_\ell^2 m_{\ell+1}^2$ , with  $\tilde{r}_\ell := (r_\ell + b_\ell^2 m_{\ell+1})^{-1}$ . The *noise feedforward*  $h_{\ell|w_{k_0:\ell-1}}$  is obtained from the initial condition  $h_{k_1+1|w_{k_0:k_1}} := 0$  and the recursive expression

$$h_{\ell|w_{k_0:\ell-1}} := P_\ell(m_{\ell+1} W_\ell + \tilde{r}_{\ell+1} a_{\ell+1} r_{\ell+1} h_{\ell+1|w_{k_0:\ell-1}, W_\ell} |w_{k_0:\ell-1}).$$

*Calculating this feedforward is intractable!*

**White noise solution** For white noise, the recursive feedforward relation simplifies to

$$h_\ell := m_{\ell+1} P_\ell(W_\ell) + \tilde{r}_{\ell+1} a_{\ell+1} r_{\ell+1} h_{\ell+1},$$

with initial condition  $h_{k_1+1} := 0$ .

## The imprecise LQ problem

**E-admissibility** A control policy  $\psi_{k:k_1}$  is *E-admissible* for  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $w_{k_0:k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-k_0}$  if

$$\psi_{k:k_1} \in \text{opt}_{\Psi_{k:k_1}}^{\mathcal{P}}(\Psi_{k:k_1}|x_k, w_{k_0:k-1}) := \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{opt}_{\Psi_{k:k_1}}^P(\Psi_{k:k_1}|x_k, w_{k_0:k-1}).$$

**Imprecise noise solution** Every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  corresponds to a single E-admissible control policy  $\hat{\psi}_{k:k_1}$ —see Equation (OCP)—that is a combination of the same state feedback and a possibly different noise feedforward. *Calculating all possible feedforwards is intractable!*

**Forward irrelevant noise solution** If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a forward irrelevant product, then for all  $\ell \in \{k_0, \dots, k_1\}$  and all  $w_{k_0:\ell-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell-k_0}$

$$h_{\ell|w_{k_0:\ell-1}} \in [\underline{h}_\ell, \bar{h}_\ell],$$

where  $\underline{h}_{k_1+1} := 0$ ,  $\bar{h}_{k_1+1} := 0$  and, for  $a_{\ell+1} \geq 0$ :

$$\underline{h}_\ell := m_{\ell+1} \underline{P}_\ell(W_\ell) + \tilde{r}_{\ell+1} a_{\ell+1} r_{\ell+1} \underline{h}_{\ell+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h}_\ell := m_{\ell+1} \bar{P}_\ell(W_\ell) + \tilde{r}_{\ell+1} a_{\ell+1} r_{\ell+1} \bar{h}_{\ell+1},$$

with  $\underline{P}_\ell(W_\ell)$  and  $\bar{P}_\ell(W_\ell)$  the lower and upper prevision (expectation) of  $W_\ell$ , respectively. For  $a_{\ell+1} \leq 0$ ,  $\underline{h}_{\ell+1}$  and  $\bar{h}_{\ell+1}$  switch places.

**Convergence** For stationary linear systems (constant  $a_\ell$ ,  $b_\ell$ ,  $r_\ell$ ,  $q_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\ell$ ) and large  $k_1 - k$ , the parameters  $m_k$ ,  $\tilde{r}_k$ ,  $\underline{h}_k$  and  $\bar{h}_k$  converge to easily calculable limit values.

