Analytical expressions for the deprojected Sérsic model

M. Baes and G. Gentile

Sterrenkundig Observatorium, Universiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 281-S9, 9000 Gent, Belgium
e-mail: maarten.baes@ugent.be

ABSTRACT

The Sérsic model has become the standard for parametrizing the surface brightness distribution of early-type galaxies and bulges of spiral galaxies. A major problem is that the deprojection of the Sérsic surface brightness profile to a luminosity density cannot be executed analytically for general values of the Sérsic index. We use Mellin integral transforms to derive an analytical expressions for the luminosity density in terms of the Fox H function for *all* values of the Sérsic index. We derive simplified expressions for the luminosity density, cumulative luminosity, and gravitational potential in terms of the Meijer G function for all rational values of the Sérsic index, and we investigate their asymptotic behaviour at small and large radii. As implementations of the Meijer G function are currently available both in symbolic computer algebra packages and as high-performance computing code, our results open up the possibility to calculate the density of the Sérsic models to arbitrary precision.

Key words. methods: analytical – galaxies: photometry

1. Introduction

The Sérsic model (Sérsic 1968) is a three-parameter model for the surface brightness profile of galaxies that has been introduced as a generalization of the de Vaucouleurs $R^{1/4}$ model (de Vaucouleurs 1948). It is defined as

$$I(R) = I_0 \exp\left[-b\left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/m}\right] \tag{1}$$

where $I(R)$ is the surface brightness at radius (on the plane of the sky) R, I_0 the central surface brightness, R_e the effective radius, and m the so-called Sérsic index that describes the index of the logarithmic slope power law. The parameter b is a dimensionless parameter that depends on the Sérsic index m, and its value can be derived from the requirement that the isophote corresponding to R_e encloses half of the total flux.

Over the past two decades, the Sérsic model has become the standard for describing the surface brightness profiles of early-type galaxies and bulges of spiral galaxies (e.g. Davies et al. 1988; Caon et al. 1993; D'Onofrio et al. 1994; Cellone et al. 1994; Andredakis et al. 1995; Prugniel & Simien 1997; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001; Graham & Guzmán 2003; Allen et al. 2006; Méndez-Abreu et al. 2008; Gadotti 2009). In the past few years, Sérsic-like models have also gained popularity as a model to describe the spherically averaged profiles for dark matter haloes. While models with a power-law behaviour at small and large radii were preferred in earlier simulations (e.g. Navarro et al. 1997; Moore et al. 1999), other models seem to fit the mass density distribution of more recent (and higher resolution) simulations better (Navarro et al. 2004, 2010; Merritt et al. 2005, 2006; Graham et al. 2006; Aceves et al. 2006; Gao et al. 2008; Duffy et al. 2008; Stadel et al. 2009). Among these models, the Sérsic model (i.e., a model where the projected surface density is described by a Sérsic law) has also been proposed as a universal description for simulated dark matter haloes.

Mainly as a result of its popularity for describing the surface brightness profiles of early-type galaxies, the properties of the Sérsic model have been examined in great detail. Ciotti (1991) and Ciotti & Lanzoni (1997) give a detailed description of the properties of the Sérsic model, including spatial and dynamical properties. Ciotti & Bertin (1999) provide a full asymptotic expansion of the dimensionless scale factor b of the Sérsic model. Graham & Driver (2005) present a compendium of mathematical formulae on photometric parameters such as Kron magnitues and Petrosian indices, and Cardone (2004) and Elíasdóttir & Möller (2007) investigate the lensing properties. A major problem with the Sérsic models is that the deprojection of the surface brightness profile to a luminosity density is in general non-analytical. In practice, one often uses approximations for the Sérsic models when the luminosity density (or the mass density when the Sérsic model is used to describe the distribution of dark matter) is necessary (e.g. Prugniel & Simien 1997; Lima Neto et al. 1999; Trujillo et al. 2002). An unexpected analytical progress was the work by Mazure & Capelato (2002), who demonstrate that it is possible to elegantly write the spatial luminosity density of the Sérsic model in terms of the Meijer G function. Unfortunately, their result only holds for integer values of the Sérsic index, which is a significant limitation for practical applications. Moreover, since their result fell as a *deus ex machina* out of the Mathematica® computer algebra package, it is hard to see whether it can be generalized to all Sérsic indices.

In this paper, we tackle the deprojection of the Sérsic surface brightness profile using analytical means. We apply an integration method based on Mellin integral transforms and derive an analytical expression for the luminosity density in terms of the Fox H function for *all* values of the Sérsic index m. For rational values of m, the luminosity density can be written in terms of the Meijer G function. As the Meijer G function is nowadays available both in symbolic computer algebra packages and as high-performance computing code, this opens up the possibility

of calculating the luminosity density of the Sérsic models to arbitrary precision. The wide range of analytical properties of the Meijer G function also allow easy study of the asymptotic behaviour at small and large radii and computation of derivative quantities, such as the cumulative luminosity and the gravitational potential.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we discuss the general deprojection of the Sérsic surface brightness profile using the Mellin transform method and present a general expression in terms of the Fox H function. In Sect. 3 we present simpler expressions for integer, half-integer, and rational values of m in terms of the Meijer G function and discuss two special, interesting cases. In Sect. 4 we use the expressions for the luminosity density to calculate the total luminosity of the Sérsic models, which serves as a consistency check on the derived formulae. In Sect. 5 we investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the luminosity density, and in Sect. 6 we derive analytical expressions for the cumulative luminosity and the gravitational potential. Finally, in Appendix A we introduce the Meijer G and Fox H functions and discuss some of their most useful properties.

2. The luminosity density of the Sérsic model as a Fox H function

In spherical symmetry, the deprojected luminosity density $\nu(r)$ at the spatial radius r can be found from the surface brightness profile $I(R)$ through the standard deprojection formula,

$$\nu(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_r^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}R}(R) \frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\sqrt{R^2 - r^2}} . \tag{2}$$

If we introduce the reduced radial coordinate

$$s = \frac{r}{R_\mathrm{e}}, \tag{3}$$

we find

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{R_\mathrm{e}} \frac{b}{m\pi} \int_s^\infty \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-bt^{1/m}} t^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \, \mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{t^2 - s^2}} . \tag{4}$$

The integral (4) cannot be evaluated in terms of elementary functions or even the standard special functions for general values of m. To evaluate it, we use a general method that builds on Mellin integral transforms and has become known as the Mellin transform method (Marichev 1983; Adamchik 1996; Fikioris 2007). The Mellin transform $\mathfrak{M}_f(u)$ of a function $f(z)$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{M}_f(u) = \phi(u) = \int_0^\infty f(z) z^{u-1} \, \mathrm{d}z. \tag{5}$$

The inverse Mellin transform is found as

$$\mathfrak{M}_\phi^{-1}(z) = f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \phi(u) z^{-u} \, \mathrm{d}u, \tag{6}$$

where the $\mathcal{L}$ is a line integral over a vertical line in the complex plane. The driving force behind the Mellin transform method is the Mellin convolution theorem. The Mellin convolution of two functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined as

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(z) = \int_0^\infty f_1(t) f_2\left(\frac{z}{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} . \tag{7}$$

Similar to the well-known Fourier transform analogue, the Mellin convolution theorem states that the Mellin transform of a

Mellin convolution is equal to the products of the Mellin transforms of the original functions,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{f_1 \star f_2}(u) = \mathfrak{M}_{f_1}(u) \, \mathfrak{M}_{f_2}(u). \tag{8}$$

Now it can be shown that any definite integral

$$f(z) = \int_0^\infty g(t, z) \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{9}$$

can be written as the Mellin convolution of two functions f_1 and f_2. As a result, the definite integral (9) can be transformed into an inverse Mellin transform,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathfrak{M}_{f_1}(u) \, \mathfrak{M}_{f_2}(u) \, z^{-u} \, \mathrm{d}u. \tag{10}$$

The power of this approach is that, if the functions f_1 and f_2 are of the hypergeometric type, which is true for many elementary functions and the majority of special functions, the integral (10) turns out to be a Mellin-Barnes integral. Depending on the involved coefficients, this integral can be evaluated as a Fox H function or, in simpler cases, as a Meijer G function (see Appendix A).

The form of Eq. (4) allows the Mellin tranform method to be applied, with $z = 1$ and

$$f_1(t) = \frac{I_0}{R_\mathrm{e}} \frac{b}{m\pi} \mathrm{e}^{-bt^{1/m}} t^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{11}$$

and

$$f_2(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - s^2 t^2}} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le s^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

The Mellin transforms of these functions are readily calculated

$$\mathfrak{M}_{f_1}(u) = \frac{I_0}{R_\mathrm{e}} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1 + mu)}{b^{mu}} \tag{13}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{f_2}(u) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{u \, s^{1+u}}. \tag{14}$$

Substituting these values in the integral (10) and setting $u = 2x$, we obtain

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{R_\mathrm{e}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2mx) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + x\right)}{\Gamma(1 + x)} (b^{2m} s^2)^{-x} \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{15}$$

If we compare this expression with the definition (A.11) of the Fox H function, we see that we can write the luminosity density of the Sérsic models in compact form as

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{R_\mathrm{e}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-1} H_{1,2}^{2,0}\left[(1,1)(1,2m), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \, \middle| \, b^{2m} s^2 \right]. \tag{16}$$

3. Integer and rational values of the Sérsic index

Expression (16) represents a closed analytical expression for the luminosity density of the Sérsic model in terms of the Fox H function. While this function is gradually receiving more attention both in mathematics and applied sciences, ranging from astrophysics and earth sciences to statistics, its practical usefulness is still limited. In particular, no general numerical implementations of the Fox H function are available (to our knowledge). Fortunately, the Fox H function can be reduced to a Meijer G

function in many cases. In this section we derive an analytical expression for the luminosity density of the Sérsic model in terms of the Meijer G function for all rational values of m. The Meijer G function is much better documented and can be an extremely useful tool for analytical work. The Meijer G function has many general properties that can manipulate expressions to equivalent forms and reduce the order for certain values of the parameters, among other advantages. Some of the most useful properties are listed in Appendix A, but there are many more. A particularly rich online source of information is the Wolfram Functions Site[1]. Moreover, commercial computer algebra systems such as Maple$^{®}$ and Mathematica$^{®}$ contain an implementation of the Meijer G function. It is also implemented in the open-source computer algebra package Sage and a freely available Python implementation of the Meijer G function to arbitrary precision is available from the Mpmath library[2].

3.1. Integer and half-integer values of m

If m is an integer or half-integer value, we can simplify expression (16) by using the property

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j+z}{N}\right) = N^{\frac{1}{2}-z} (2\pi)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \Gamma(z). \tag{17}$$

Applying this recipe with $N = 2m$ and $z = 2m\,x$ gives

$$\Gamma(1 + 2m\,x) = (2m)^{\frac{1}{2}+2mx} (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}-m}$$
$$\times \Gamma(1 + x) \prod_{j=1}^{2m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j}{2m} + x\right). \tag{18}$$

By inserting this in expression (15), we find

$$\nu(r) = \frac{2\,I_0}{R_{\mathrm{e}}} \frac{\sqrt{m}}{(2\pi)^m} s^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}$$
$$\times \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + x\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j}{2m} + x\right) \left[\left(\frac{b}{2m}\right)^{2m} s^2\right]^{-x} \mathrm{d}x. \tag{19}$$

When comparing this expression with the definition (A.1) of the Meijer G function, we obtain the following compact expression for the luminosity density of the Sérsic model

$$\nu(r) = \frac{2\,I_0}{R_{\mathrm{e}}} \frac{\sqrt{m}}{(2\pi)^m} s^{-1} G_{0,2m}^{2m,0}\left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \boldsymbol{b} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \left(\frac{b}{2m}\right)^{2m} s^2\right] \tag{20a}$$

with $\boldsymbol{b}$ a vector with $2m$ elements given by

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \left\{\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{2}{2m} \ldots, \frac{2m-1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}. \tag{20b}$$

[1] The Wolfram Functions Site (http://functions.wolfram.com/) is a comprehensive online compendium that provides a huge collection of formulas and graphics about mathematical functions. It is created with Mathematica$^{®}$ and is developed and maintained by Wolfram Research with partial support from the National Science Foundation. A compendium of formulae on the Meijer G function can be found at http://functions.wolfram.com/PDF/MeijerG.pdf.

[2] Mpmath (http://code.google.com/p/mpmath/) is a free pure-Python library for multiprecision floating-point arithmetic. It provides an extensive set of transcendental functions, unlimited exponent sizes, complex numbers, interval arithmetic, numerical integration and differentiation, root-finding, linear algebra, and much more.

This expression is equivalent to (and actually even slightly simpler than) the expression obtained by Mazure & Capelato (2002) using the computer algebra package Mathematica$^{®}$. Mazure & Capelato (2002) obtained their formula only for integer values of m, whereas our analysis shows that exactly the same expression also holds for half-integer values of m.

3.2. Rational values of m

Interestingly, these results can be generalized for all *rational* values of m. Setting $m = p/q$ with p and q integer numbers, we can write expression (15) as

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{R_{\mathrm{e}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-1}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{q\,\Gamma(1 + 2p\,y)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + q\,y\right)}{\Gamma(1 + q\,y)} (b^{2p} s^{2q})^{-y} \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{21}$$

By multiple application of the identity (17), we can rewrite this expression in a format that leads to a Meijer G function. The result is

$$\nu(r) = \frac{2\,I_0}{R_{\mathrm{e}}} \frac{\sqrt{p\,q}}{(2\pi)^p} s^{-1} G_{q-1,2p+q-1}^{2p+q-1,0}\left[\begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \left(\frac{b}{2p}\right)^{2p} s^{2q}\right] \tag{22a}$$

with $\boldsymbol{a}$ a vector of dimension $q-1$ with elements

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \left\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{2}{q}, \ldots, \frac{q-1}{q}\right\}, \tag{22b}$$

and $\boldsymbol{b}$ a vector with $2p + q - 1$ elements given by

$$\boldsymbol{b} = \left\{\frac{1}{2p}, \frac{2}{2p}, \ldots, \frac{2p-1}{2p}, \frac{1}{2q}, \frac{3}{2q}, \ldots, \frac{2q-1}{2q}\right\}. \tag{22c}$$

It is straightforward to check that the general expression (22) reduces to expression (20) for integer values of m. Using the order-reduction formulae (A.5) and (A.6) of the Meijer G function, one can also demonstrate that expression (22) reduces to (20) for half-integer values of m.

3.3. Special cases: m = 1 and m = $\frac{1}{2}$

Among the family of Sérsic models, there are two well-known specific cases for which the luminosity density can be calculated explicitly in terms of elementary or special functions. The first of these two models is the exponential model, corresponding to $m = 1$. Exponential models are often used to describe the surface brightness profiles of dwarf elliptical galaxies (e.g. Faber & Lin 1983; Binggeli et al. 1984). If we introduce the notation $h = R_{\mathrm{e}}/b$, we can write the surface brightness profile as

$$I(R) = I_0\, \mathrm{e}^{-R/h}. \tag{23}$$

If we deproject this surface brightness profile using the deprojection formula (2), we recover the well-known result that the luminosity density can be written in terms of a modified Bessel function of the second kind,

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{\pi\,h} K_0\left(\frac{r}{h}\right). \tag{24}$$

If we set $m = 1$ in the expression (20), we obtain

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{\pi\,R_{\mathrm{e}}} s^{-1} G_{0,2}^{2,0}\left[\begin{matrix} - \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \frac{b^2 s^2}{4}\right]. \tag{25}$$

Using formula (A.3), this expression reduces to expression (24).

Another interesting special case is $m = \frac{1}{2}$, which corresponds to a Gaussian surface brightness profile. Such profiles do not correspond to the observed surface brightness profiles of galaxies, but they are very useful as components in multi-Gaussian expansions: even with a relatively modest set of Gaussian components, realistic geometries can accurately be reproduced (e.g., Emsellem et al. 1994a,b; De Bruyne et al. 2001; Cappellari 2002). If we introduce $\sigma = R_e/\sqrt{2b}$ and we use the total luminosity instead of the effective intensity as a parameter, we can write the surface brightness profile as

$$I(R) = \frac{L}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{26}$$

One of the key advantages of a multi-Gaussian expansion of an observed surface brightness profile is that the corresponding luminosity density has a simple analytical form. Indeed, substituting (26) into the deprojection formula (2), one can easily check that the deprojection of a Gaussian distribution on the sky is also a Gaussian distribution,

$$\nu(r) = \frac{L}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \tag{27}$$

This result can also be found by setting $m = \frac{1}{2}$ in Eq. (20),

$$\nu(r) = \frac{I_0}{\sqrt{\pi}\,R_e}\, s^{-1}\, G_{0,1}^{1,0}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\,\Big|\,bs^2\right). \tag{28}$$

If we use Eq. (A.2), we easily recover expression (27).

4. The total luminosity

The total luminosity of the Sérsic model can be calculated by integrating the intensity on the plane of the sky,

$$L = 2\pi \int_0^\infty I(R)\,R\,dR. \tag{29}$$

By inserting Eq. (1) one readily finds

$$L = \pi I_0 R_e^2\, \frac{1}{b^{2m}}\, \Gamma(2m + 1). \tag{30}$$

As a consistency check on the formula (22) and to illustrate of the power of the Meijer G function as an analytical tool, we can also calculate the luminosity by integrating the luminosity density $\nu(r)$ over the entire space,

$$L = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \nu(r)\, r^2\, dr. \tag{31}$$

Inserting Eq. (22), we obtain

$$L = \frac{2\,I_0 R_e^2}{(2\pi)^{p-1}}\, \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \int_0^\infty G_{q-1,2p+q-1}^{2p+q-1,0}\left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \end{array}\Big|\left(\frac{b}{2p}\right)^{2p} t\right] t^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\, dt. \tag{32}$$

If we use the general property (A.8) of the Meijer G function, we can evaluate this integral as

$$L = \frac{2\,I_0 R_e^2}{(2\pi)^{p-1}}\, \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \left(\frac{2p}{b}\right)^{\frac{2p}{q}}\, \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2p+q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q} + b_j\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q} + a_j\right)}. \tag{33}$$

The product in the denominator can be simplified to

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q} + a_j\right) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j+1}{q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} = \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}, \tag{34}$$

where the last transition follows from identity (17). Similarly, the product in the numerator of Eq. (33) can be simplified to

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2p+q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q} + b_j\right) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2p-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j+2p/q}{2p}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j+3/2}{q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,(2\pi)^{p+\frac{q}{2}-1}(2p)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2p}{q}}}{2\,q}\, \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p}{q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}. \tag{35}$$

If we substitute (34) and (35) into expression (33) and use $m = p/q$, we recover the expression (30) for the total luminosity of the Sérsic model, as required.

5. Asymptotic behaviour

One of the most useful properties of the general expression (22) is that it can elegantly determine the asymptotic behaviour of the luminosity density of the Sérsic model at small and large radii. It is well-known (e.g. Ciotti 1991) that the Sérsic models have a cusp for $m > 1$ and a finite luminosity density core at $m < 1$. This can be seen immediately by evaluating the integral (4) for $r = 0$ (which converges only for $m < 1$),

$$\nu_0 = \frac{I_0}{R_e}\, \frac{b}{m\,\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-bt^{1/m}} t^{\frac{1}{m}-2}\, dt = \frac{I_0}{\pi R_e}\, b^m\, \Gamma(1 - m). \tag{36}$$

For a more detailed discussion on the behaviour of the luminosity density at small radii, we can use the asymptotic expansion (A.9) of the Meijer G function. In particular, this equation shows that the lowest order term in the expansion is determined by the smallest components b_k in the vector $\boldsymbol{b}$. This depends on the value of the Sérsic index m.

For $m < \frac{1}{3}$, the smallest component of the vector $\boldsymbol{b}$ is $b_{2p} = \frac{1}{2q}$ and the second-smallest is $b_{2p+1} = \frac{3}{2q}$. After some algebra, which involves similar techniques as applied in Sect. 4, we find the asymptotic expansion

$$\nu(r) \sim \frac{I_0 b}{\pi R_e}\left[\frac{\Gamma(1-m)}{b^{1-m}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma(1-3m)}{b^{1-3m}}\, s^2\right]. \tag{37a}$$

For $m = \frac{1}{3}$, the smallest component is still $b_{2p} \equiv b_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, but now the two components b_1 and $b_{2p+1} \equiv b_3$ are both equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. In this case we cannot use the expansion formula (A.9), since this formula is only valid if all components of the vector b are different. For $m = \frac{1}{3}$ we find the expansion

$$\nu(r) \sim \frac{I_0 b}{\pi R_e}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{b^{2/3}} + \frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)s^2\right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{1}{4}(3 + 2\gamma + 2\ln b - 6\ln 2)\,s^2\right] \tag{37b}$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.57721566$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. If $\frac{1}{3} < m < 1$, $b_{2p} = \frac{1}{2q}$ remains the smallest component of the vector b, but the second-smallest is now $b_1 = \frac{1}{2p}$. One finds

$$\nu(r) \sim \frac{I_0 b}{\pi R_e}\left[\frac{\Gamma(1-m)}{b^{1-m}} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2m}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right)} s^{\frac{1}{m}-1}\right]. \tag{37c}$$

For $m = 1$, we again have two equal components in the vector b, and we cannot readily apply formula (A.9). The asymptotic expansion for small r now reads as

$$\nu(r) \sim \frac{I_0 b}{\pi R_e}\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) - (\gamma + \ln b - \ln 2)\right]. \tag{37d}$$

Finally, if $m > 1$, the smallest component is $b_1 = \frac{1}{2p}$, which leads to

$$\nu(r) \sim \frac{I_0 \, b}{R_e} \, \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{\pi}} \, \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right)} \, s^{-(1-\frac{1}{m})}. \tag{37e}$$

The five different asymptotic expansions (37) demonstrate the different behaviours of the luminosity density at small radii, depending on the value of the Sérsic index m. For $m < 1$ the Sérsic model has a finite density core with the central luminosity density given by Eq. (36). At $m = 1$ the model has a logarithmic cusp, and at $m > 1$ we have a power-law cusp with logarithmic slope $1 - \frac{1}{m}$. In particular, the de Vaucouleurs model has a luminosity density profile that behaves as $s^{-3/4}$ at small radii (Young 1976; Mellier & Mathez 1987). Surprisingly, the Sérsic models with $m < \frac{1}{2}$ do not have a monotonically decreasing luminosity density profile with increasing radius. In the expansions (37a) and (37b), the first non-constant term has a positive coefficient, and hence the luminosity density increases with increasing radius in the nuclear region. The same accounts for $\frac{1}{3} < m < \frac{1}{2}$, since the coefficient of the second term in the expansion (37c) is positive for $\frac{1}{3} < m < \frac{1}{2}$ and negative for $\frac{1}{2} < m < 1$.

At large radii, a single formula for the asymptotic expansion holds for all Sérsic indices,

$$\nu(r) \sim \frac{I_0}{R_e} \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\pi m}} \, e^{-bs^{1/m}} \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2m}}, \tag{38}$$

in agreement with the result derived by Ciotti (1991).

6. Some other properties of the Sérsic model

The analytical expression (22) for the luminosity density of the Sérsic models allows other properties of this family to be expressed analytically in terms of the Meijer G function. The most important ones are the cumulative luminosity and the gravitational potential.

For a spherically symmetric system, they cumulative luminosity $L(r)$ can be calculated as

$$L(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho(r') \, r'^2 \, dr'. \tag{39}$$

After substitution of expression (22) in Eq. (A.7), we find

$$L(r) = \frac{2 \, I_0 \, R_e^2}{(2\pi)^{p-1}} \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \, s^2 \, G_{q,2p+q}^{2p+q-1,1}\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{1}{q}, \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b}, -\frac{1}{q} \end{array} \middle| \left(\frac{b}{2p}\right)^{2p} s^{2q}\right], \tag{40}$$

which reduces to

$$L(r) = \frac{2 \, I_0 \, R_e^2 \, \sqrt{m}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}} \, s^2 \, G_{1,2m+1}^{2m,1}\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{b}, -1 \end{array} \middle| \left(\frac{b}{2m}\right)^{2m} s^2\right] \tag{41}$$

for integer or half-integer values of the Sérsic index m. Again, this expression is equivalent to the expression found by Mazure & Capelato (2002). The asymptotic expansion of the cumulative luminosity for small r can be found in the same way as for the luminosity density in Sect. 5. One finds after some calculation for $m < 1$

$$L(r) \sim \frac{4}{3} \, I_0 \, R_e^2 \, b^m \, \Gamma(1 - m) \, s^3. \tag{42a}$$

For $m = 1$ we obtain

$$L(r) \sim \frac{4 \, I_0 \, R_e^2 \, b}{3} \left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \gamma - \ln b + \ln 2\right)\right] s^3, \tag{42b}$$

and for $m > 1$

$$L(r) \sim \frac{2 \sqrt{\pi} \, I_0 \, R_e^2 \, b}{2m+1} \, \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right)} \, s^{2+\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{42c}$$

These asymptotic expressions can also be found by directly inserting Eqs. (37) into formula (39).

If we assume that mass follows light (or in case the Sérsic model is used to describe the mass density), we can also calculate the (positive) gravitational potential $\Psi(r)$. The most convenient way in the present case is to use the formula

$$\Psi(r) = G \, \Upsilon \int_r^\infty \frac{L(r') \, dr'}{r'^2} \tag{43}$$

where the Υ is the mass-to-light ratio. The result reads as

$$\Psi(r) = \frac{G \, \Upsilon \, I_0 \, R_e}{(2\pi)^{p-1}} \, \frac{\sqrt{p}}{q^{3/2}} \, s$$
$$\times G_{q+1,2p+q+1}^{2p+q,1}\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{1}{q}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q}, \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b}, -\frac{1}{2q}, -\frac{1}{q} \end{array} \middle| \left(\frac{b}{2p}\right)^{2p} s^{2q}\right], \tag{44}$$

or if we introduce the total mass $M = \Upsilon L$ using expression (30)

$$\Psi(r) = \frac{GM}{R_e} \, \frac{b^{\frac{2p}{q}}}{(2\pi)^p \sqrt{pq} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{2p}{q}\right)} \, s$$
$$\times G_{q+1,2p+q+1}^{2p+q,1}\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 - \frac{1}{q}, 1 - \frac{1}{2q}, \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b}, -\frac{1}{2q}, -\frac{1}{q} \end{array} \middle| \left(\frac{b}{2p}\right)^{2p} s^{2q}\right]. \tag{45}$$

For integer and half-integer values of the Sérsic index m, this expression simplifies to

$$\Psi(r) = \frac{GM}{R_e} \, \frac{b^{2m}}{(2\pi)^m \sqrt{m} \, \Gamma(2m)} \, s$$
$$\times G_{2,2m+2}^{2m+1,1}\left[\begin{array}{c} 0, \frac{1}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{b}, -\frac{1}{2}, -1 \end{array} \middle| \left(\frac{b}{2m}\right)^{2m} s^2\right]. \tag{46}$$

This expression can be reduced slightly further since the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ appears in both the $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ coefficient vectors. Applying Eq. (A.5), the final result reads as

$$\Psi(r) = \frac{GM}{R_e} \, \frac{b^{2m}}{(2\pi)^m \sqrt{m} \, \Gamma(2m)} \, s \, G_{1,2m+1}^{2m,1}\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{b'} \end{array} \middle| \left(\frac{b}{2m}\right)^{2m} s^2\right] \tag{47a}$$

with $\boldsymbol{b'}$ a vector with $2m + 1$ elements given by

$$\boldsymbol{b'} = \left\{\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{2}{2m} \ldots, \frac{2m-1}{2m}, -\frac{1}{2}, -1\right\}. \tag{47b}$$

This expression is somewhat simpler than, but equivalent to, expression (28) in Mazure & Capelato (2002).

Since the luminosity density of the Sérsic models never falls more steeply than r^{-1} at small radii, it is no surprise that all Sérsic models have a finite potential well for all values of m. Ciotti (1991) has already derived an expression for the depth of the potential well using the general expression

$$\Psi_0 = -4G \, \Upsilon \int_0^\infty \frac{dI}{dR}(R) \, R \, dR. \tag{48}$$

Applied to the Sérsic model surface brightness profile, the result reads as (his Eq. (12))

$$\Psi_0 = 4G \, \Upsilon \, I_0 \, R_e \, \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{b^m}. \tag{49}$$

Taking the limit $r \to 0$ for the expression (45), we find

$$\Psi_0 = \frac{GM}{R_e} \frac{2b^m}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(2m)}, \tag{50}$$

equivalent to (49). Using the expansion formulae for the Meijer G function, we can calculate the asymptotic expansion for the potential at small radii. Not surprisingly, we again obtain different expansions for m smaller than, equal to, and larger than 1. After a lengthy calculation, one finds for $m < 1$ a quadratically decreasing potential,

$$\Psi(r) \sim \frac{GM}{R_e} \left[\frac{2b^m}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(2m)} - \frac{b^{3m}}{3\pi m} \frac{\Gamma(1-m)}{\Gamma(2m)} s^2 \right]. \tag{51}$$

For the exponential model $m = 1$, one obtains

$$\Psi(r) \sim \frac{GM}{R_e} \left\{ \frac{2b}{\pi} - \frac{b^3}{3\pi} \left[\log\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \right. \right.$$
$$\left. \left. + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma + \ln b - \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{3/2} + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{5/2} \right) \right] s^2 \right\} \tag{52}$$

where ψ_z is the digamma function. For $m > 1$ the potential decreases more softly than quadratically,

$$\Psi(r) \sim \frac{GM}{R_e} \left[\frac{2b^m}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(2m)} \right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{b^{2m+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}\,(m+1)\,(2m+1)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right)\Gamma(2m)} s^{1+\frac{1}{m}} \right]. \tag{53}$$

Finally, at large radii, the potential of all Sérsic models falls off as

$$\Psi(r) \sim \frac{GM}{r}, \tag{54}$$

as required for a system with a finite mass.

7. Conclusions

We have used the Mellin transform technique to derive a closed, analytical expression for the spatial luminosity density $\nu(r)$ of the Sérsic model. For general values of the Sérsic parameter m, this expression is a Fox H function. We derived simplified expressions for $\nu(r)$ in terms of the Meijer G function for all rational values of m, and for integer values of m our results are equivalent to the expressions found by Mazure & Capelato (2002). Our analytical calculations complement other theoretical studies of the Sérsic model (Ciotti 1991; Ciotti & Lanzoni 1997; Ciotti & Bertin 1999; Trujillo et al. 2001; Cardone 2004; Graham & Driver 2005; Elíasdóttir & Möller 2007) and, given the extended literature on the analytical properties of the Meijer G function, can be used to further examine the properties of this model analytically. We have investigated the asymptotic behaviour of the luminosity density at small and large radii, and find a rich variety in behviour depending on the value of m. We also derived analytical expression for derived quantities, in particular the cumulative luminosity and the gravitational potential. Our results can also be used in practical calculations: as implementations of the Meijer G function are nowadays available both in symbolic computer algebra packages and as high-performance computing code, our results open up the possibility of calculating the luminosity density of the Sérsic models to arbitrary precision.

Appendix A: The Meijer *G* and Fox *H* functions

The Meijer G function (Meijer 1936) is a universal, analytical function that was introduced as a generalization of the hypergeometric series. Nowadays it is more commonly defined as an inverse Mellin transform, i.e. a path integral in the complex plane,

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \end{array} \middle| z \right] \equiv G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \middle| z \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}$$
$$\times \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma(b_j + s) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma(1 - a_j - s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \Gamma(1 - b_j - s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma(a_j + s)} z^{-s}\, \mathrm{d}s \tag{A.1}$$

with $\mathcal{L}$ a path in the complex plane, and $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ are two vectors of dimension p and q respectively.

The general Meijer G function can reproduce many commonly used special functions, including Bessel functions, elliptic integrals, and hypergeometric functions. Some of the special cases are

$$G_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[\begin{array}{c} - \\ b \end{array} \middle| z \right] = e^{-z}\, z^b \tag{A.2}$$

$$G_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[\begin{array}{c} - \\ b_1, b_2 \end{array} \middle| z \right] = 2\, z^{\frac{1}{2}(b_1+b_2)}\, K_{b_1-b_2}\left(2\sqrt{z} \right) \tag{A.3}$$

where $K_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

The Meijer G function has numerous useful properties that allow transforming expressions to equivalent expressions. For example, one can shift all parameters by a given number,

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \middle| z \right] = z^{-\alpha}\, G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1 + \alpha, \dots, a_p + \alpha \\ b_1 + \alpha, \dots, b_q + \alpha \end{array} \middle| z \right]. \tag{A.4}$$

An important property is that one can reduce the order of the Meijer G function if one parameter appears in both the upper and lower parameter vectors (depending on the position). For example, if one of the a_k with $n < k \le p$ equals one of the b_j with $1 \le j \le m$, then

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \middle| z \right] =$$
$$G_{p-1,q-1}^{m-1,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_q \end{array} \middle| z \right]. \tag{A.5}$$

Another powerful property that allows the order of the Meijer G function to be reduced in certain cases is

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \end{array} \middle| z \right] = \frac{k^{1+\nu+(p-q)/2}}{(2\pi)^{(k-1)\delta}} G_{kp,kq}^{km,kn} \left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a'} \\ \boldsymbol{b'} \end{array} \middle| \frac{z^k}{k^{k(q-p)}} \right], \tag{A.6a}$$

where k is a positive integer number, δ and ν are defined as

$$\delta = m + n + \frac{p+q}{2}, \qquad \nu = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j, \tag{A.6b}$$

and the vectors $\boldsymbol{a'}$ and $\boldsymbol{b'}$ are defined as

$$\boldsymbol{a'} = \left\{ \frac{a_1}{k}, \frac{a_1+1}{k}, \dots, \frac{a_1+k-1}{k}, \dots \frac{a_p}{k}, \dots, \frac{a_p+k-1}{k} \right\} \tag{A.6c}$$

$$\boldsymbol{b'} = \left\{ \frac{b_1}{k}, \frac{b_1+1}{k}, \dots, \frac{b_1+k-1}{k}, \dots \frac{b_q}{k}, \dots, \frac{b_q+k-1}{k} \right\}. \tag{A.6d}$$

One of the most powerful properties of the Meijer G function as an analytical tool is that several integrals involving Meijer functions can be evaluated in terms of higher order Meijer functions.

For example,

$$\int G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[\begin{matrix} a_1,\ldots,a_p \\ b_1,\ldots,b_q \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; zw\right] z^{\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}z =$$

$$z^{\alpha} G_{p+1,q+1}^{m,n+1}\left[\begin{matrix} 1-\alpha,a_1,\ldots,a_p \\ b_1,\ldots,b_q,-\alpha \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; zw\right] \qquad (A.7)$$

The corresponding definite integral can be evaluated as

$$\int_0^\infty G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[\begin{matrix} a_1,\ldots,a_p \\ b_1,\ldots,b_q \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; zw\right] z^{\alpha-1}\,\mathrm{d}z =$$

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j+\alpha)\,\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j-\alpha)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j+\alpha)\,\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_j-\alpha)} w^{-\alpha}. \qquad (A.8)$$

Another useful property is the asymptotic expansion of the Meijer function for small z, in the case of $p \le q$ and simple poles,

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[\begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{a} \\ \boldsymbol{b} \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; z\right] = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\prod_{j=1,j\ne k}^m \Gamma(b_j-b_k)\,\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j+b_k)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j-b_k)\,\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_j+b_k)}$$

$$\times z^{b_k}\left[1 + (-1)^{-m-n+p}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1-a_j+b_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1-b_j+b_k)} z + O(z^2)\right]. \qquad (A.9)$$

For the asymptotic expansion at large z, one can use the identity

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[\begin{matrix} a_1,\ldots,a_p \\ b_1,\ldots,b_q \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; z\right] = G_{q,p}^{n,m}\left[\begin{matrix} 1-b_1,\ldots,1-b_q \\ 1-a_1,\ldots,1-a_p \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; \frac{1}{z}\right]. \qquad (A.10)$$

The Fox H function (Fox 1961) is a generalization of Meijer G function and is also defined as an inverse Mellin transform,

$$H_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[\begin{matrix} (\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{A}) \\ (\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{B}) \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; z\right] \equiv H_{p,q}^{m,n}\left[\begin{matrix} (a_1,A_1),\ldots,(a_p,A_p) \\ (b_1,B_1),\ldots,(b_q,B_q) \end{matrix}\;\middle|\; z\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}$$

$$\times \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j+B_j s)\,\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j-A_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_j-B_j s)\,\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j+A_j s)} z^{-s}\,\mathrm{d}s. \quad (A.11)$$

Not surprisingly, the Fox H function shares many of the properties of the Meijer G functions, and complete volumes have been written about its identities, asymptotic properties, expansion formulae, and integral transforms (e.g. Mathai & Saxena 1978; Kilbas & Saigo 2004; Mathai et al. 2009).

References

Aceves, H., Velázquez, H., & Cruz, F. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 632
Adamchik, V. 1996, Math. Educ. Res., 5, 16
Allen, P. D., Driver, S. P., Graham, A. W., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 371, 2
Andredakis, Y. C., Peletier, R. F., & Balcells, M. 1995, MNRAS, 275, 874
Binggeli, B., Sandage, A., & Tarenghi, M. 1984, AJ, 89, 64
Caon, N., Capaccioli, M., & D'Onofrio, M. 1993, MNRAS, 265, 1013
Cappellari, M. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 400
Cardone, V. F. 2004, A&A, 415, 839
Cellone, S. A., Forte, J. C., & Geisler, D. 1994, ApJS, 93, 397
Ciotti, L. 1991, A&A, 249, 99
Ciotti, L., & Bertin, G. 1999, A&A, 352, 447
Ciotti, L., & Lanzoni, B. 1997, A&A, 321, 724
Davies, J. I., Phillipps, S., Cawson, M. G. M., & Disney, M. J., & Kibblewhite, E. J. 1988, MNRAS, 232, 239
De Bruyne, V., Dejonghe, H., Pizzella, A., Bernardi, M., & Zeilinger, W. W. 2001, ApJ, 546, 903
de Vaucouleurs, G. 1948, Ann. Astrophys., 11, 247
D'Onofrio, M., Capaccioli, M., & Caon, N. 1994, MNRAS, 271, 523
Duffy, A. R., Schaye, J., Kay, S. T., & Dalla Vecchia, C. 2008, MNRAS, 390, L64
Elíasdóttir, Á., Möller, O. 2007, J. Cosmol. Astro-Part. Phys., 7, 6
Emsellem, E., Monnet, G., & Bacon, R. 1994a, A&A, 285, 723
Emsellem, E., Monnet, G., Bacon, R., & Nieto, J.-L. 1994b, A&A, 285, 739
Faber, S. M., & Lin, D. N. C. 1983, ApJ, 266, L17
Fikioris G., 2007, Mellin Transform Method for Integral Evaluation, Introduction and Application to Electromagnetics (Morgan & Claypool)
Fox, C. 1961, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 98, 395
Gadotti, D. A. 2009, MNRAS, 393, 1531
Gao, L., Navarro, J. F., Cole, S. et al. 2008, MNRAS, 387, 536
Graham, A. W., & Driver, S. P. 2005, Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral., 22, 118
Graham, A. W., & Guzmán, R. 2003, AJ, 125, 2936
Graham, A. W., Merritt, D., Moore, B., Diemand, J., & Terzić, B. 2006, AJ, 132, 2701
Lima Neto, G. B., Gerbal, D., & Márquez. I. 1999, MNRAS, 309, 481
Kilbas, A. A., & Saigo, M. 2004, H-Transforms: Theory and Applications (CRC Press)
Marichev O., Handbook of Integral Transforms of Higher Transcendental Functions, Theory and Algorithmic Tables (Horwood, Chichester)
Mathai, A. M., & Saxena R. M. 1978, The H Function with Applications in Statistics and Other Disciplines (Wiley)
Mathai, A. M., Saxena R. M., & Haubold H. J. 2009, The H-Function: Theory and Applications (Springer)
Mazure, A., & Capelato, H. V. 2002, A&A, 383, 384
Meijer, C. S. 1936, Über Whittakersche bezw. Besselsche Funktionen und deren Produkte, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, 18, 10
Mellier, Y., & Mathez, G. 1987, A&A, 175, 1
Méndez-Abreu, J., Aguerri, J. A. L., Corsini, E. M., & Simonneau, E. 2008, A&A, 478, 353
Merritt, D., Navarro, J. F., Ludlow, A., & Jenkins, A. 2005, ApJ, 624, L85
Merritt, D., Graham, A. W., Moore, B., Diemand, J., & Terzić, B. 2006, AJ, 132, 2685
Möllenhoff, C., & Heidt, J. 2001, A&A, 368, 16
Moore, B., Quinn, T., Governato, F., Stadel, J., & Lake, G. 1999, MNRAS, 310, 1147
Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. 1997, ApJ, 490, 493
Navarro, J. F., Hayashi, E., Power, C., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 349, 1039
Navarro, J. F., Ludlow, A., Springel, V., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 21
Prugniel, P., & Simien, F. 1997, A&A, 321, 111
Sérsic, J. L. 1968, Cordoba, Argentina: Observatorio Astronomico
Stadel, J., Potter, D., Moore, B., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, L21
Trujillo, I., Graham, A. W., & Caon, N. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 869
Trujillo I., Asensio Ramos A., Rubiño-Martín J. A., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 510
Young, P. J. 1976, AJ, 81, 807