

Convergence of Continuous-Time Imprecise Markov Chains

Jasper De Bock

Jasper.DeBock@UGent.be
SYSTEMS, Ghent University

Lower transition (rate) operators

Let \mathcal{X} be some finite set. A map $\underline{Q}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is a **lower transition rate operator** if

- LR1. $\underline{Q}(\mu) = 0$ for all constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$
- LR2. $\underline{Q}(f+g) \geq \underline{Q}(f) + \underline{Q}(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$
- LR3. $\underline{Q}(\lambda f) = \lambda \underline{Q}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$
- LR4. $\underline{Q}(\mathbb{I}_y)(x) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $x \neq y$

A map $\underline{T}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is a **lower transition operator** if

- L1. $\underline{T}(f) \geq \min f$ for all $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$
- L2. $\underline{T}(f+g) \geq \underline{T}(f) + \underline{T}(g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$
- L3. $\underline{T}(\lambda f) = \lambda \underline{T}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$

These two notions are connected: **every lower transition rate operator \underline{Q} has corresponding lower transition operators $\underline{T}_t, t \geq 0$** , as defined for all $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ by

$$\underline{T}_0 f = f \text{ and } (\forall t \geq 0) \frac{d}{dt} \underline{T}_t f = \underline{Q}(\underline{T}_t f)$$

The conjugate **upper transition (rate) operators $\bar{T}_t, t \geq 0$** , and \bar{Q} are defined by $\bar{T}_t(f) := -\underline{T}_t(-f)$ and $\bar{Q}(f) := -\underline{Q}(-f)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

Continuous-Time Imprecise MCs

Definition A **continuous-time imprecise Markov chain** is a continuous-time Markov chain with state space \mathcal{X} of which the transition rate matrix Q_t is an unspecified function of time that takes values in some closed, convex, bounded set of transition rate matrices \mathcal{Q} that has separately specified rows, meaning that

$$Q \in \mathcal{Q} \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mathcal{X}) Q(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{Q}_x$$

where, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, \mathcal{Q}_x is a set of row vectors.

Bounds on expectations For all $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the expected value $E_t(f|X_0 = x)$ of f at time t , conditional on $X_0 = x$, **takes values in a closed interval with lower bound $\underline{T}_t(f)(x)$ and upper bound $\bar{T}_t(f)(x)$ (Škulj 2015)**, where \underline{T}_t is the lower transition operator that corresponds to the lower transition rate operator \underline{Q} , with $\underline{Q}(h)$ defined for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ by

$$\underline{Q}(h)(x) := \min_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} Q(x, y) h(y) \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Lower and Upper Reachability

For any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{X}$, we say that x is **upper reachable** from y and write $y \xrightarrow{\text{up}} x$ if there is some sequence $y = x_0, \dots, x_n = x$ such that, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$x_k \neq x_{k-1} \text{ and } \bar{Q}(\mathbb{I}_{x_k})(x_{k-1}) > 0.$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, we say that A is **lower reachable** from x and write $x \xrightarrow{\text{low}} A$ if $x \in A_n$, where $A_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is the nested sequence defined by $A_0 := A$ and

$$A_{k+1} := A_k \cup \{y \in \mathcal{X} \setminus A_k : \underline{Q}(\mathbb{I}_{A_k})(y) > 0\} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and where n is the first index such that $A_n = A_{n+1}$.

E_∞ ?

The following conditions are necessary and sufficient:

\underline{Q} is Perron-Frobenius-like

There is a unique lower expectation operator \underline{E}_∞ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ such that, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{T}_t f(x) = \underline{E}_\infty f \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}},$$

or, equivalently, such that for any initial lower expectation operator $\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_t := \underline{E}_0(\underline{E}_t(\cdot|X_0)) = \underline{E}_0 \underline{T}_t$ converges to the stationary distribution \underline{E}_∞ .



$\exists t > 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall t > 0$

\underline{T}_t is Perron-Frobenius-like

There is a unique lower expectation operator \underline{E}_∞ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ such that, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{T}_t^k f(x) = \underline{E}_\infty f \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}},$$

or, equivalently, such that for any initial lower expectation operator $\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_k := \underline{E}_0 \underline{T}_t^k$ converges to the stationary distribution \underline{E}_∞ .



\underline{T}_t is regularly absorbing

$$\mathcal{R} := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}) \min \bar{T}_t^n \mathbb{I}_x > 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{R}) (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}) \underline{T}_t^n \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}(x) > 0$$



\underline{T}_t is 1-step absorbing

$$\mathcal{R} := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \min \bar{T}_t \mathbb{I}_x > 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{R}) \underline{T}_t \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}(x) > 0$$



\underline{Q} is regularly absorbing

$$\mathcal{R} := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : (\forall y \in \mathcal{X}) y \xrightarrow{\text{up}} x\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{R}) x \xrightarrow{\text{low}} \mathcal{R}$$

Want to know what happens at infinity? Then you've come to the right place...

E_0