Extreme Lower Previsions and Minkowski Indecomposability

Jasper De Bock & Gert de Cooman

ECSQARU 2013, Utrecht

$$a(c) = \int_{ext(C)} a(e) d\mu_c(e)$$
 for all $a \in A(C)$.





$$a(c) = \int_{ext(C)} a(e) d\mu_c(e) \text{ for all } a \in A(C).$$

This trick keeps working
for more general cases!

$$c(\omega) = \int_{ext(C)} e(\omega) d\mu_c(e) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

ŀ

$$a(c) = \int_{ext(C)} a(e) d\mu_c(e) \text{ for all } a \in A(C).$$

Dempster-Shafer theory
(Choquet) If *C* consists of all infinitely
monotone capacities (belief
functions) on $2^{\mathscr{X}}$ (finite \mathscr{X}).
$$bel(A) = \sum_{B \subseteq A} m(B) = \sum_{B \subseteq \mathscr{X}} bel_B(A)m(B) \text{ for all } A \subseteq \mathscr{X}.$$







A variable *X* takes values *x* in some non-empty \mathcal{X} (finite in this talk).

 $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ is the set of all gambles f (real valued maps) on \mathscr{X} .

A coherent lower prevision \underline{P} is a real valued functional on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ such that for all $f, g \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ and all real $\lambda > 0$

1. $\underline{P}(f) \ge \min f$ [boundedness]2. $\underline{P}(\lambda f) = \lambda \underline{P}(f)$ [non-negative homogeneity]3. $\underline{P}(f+g) \ge \underline{P}(f) + \underline{P}(g)$ [super-additivity]

We denote the set of all coherent lower previsions on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ by $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$.

A variable *X* takes values *x* in some non-empty \mathcal{X} (finite in this talk).

 $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ is the set of all gambles f (real valued maps) on \mathscr{X} .

A coherent lower prevision \underline{P} is a real valued functional on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ such that for all $f, g \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ and all real $\lambda > 0$

1. $\underline{P}(f) \ge \min f$ [boundedness]2. $\underline{P}(\lambda f) = \lambda \underline{P}(f)$ [non-negative homogeneity]3. $\underline{P}(f+g) \ge \underline{P}(f) + \underline{P}(g)$ [super-additivity]

We denote the set of all coherent lower previsions on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$ by $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$. There is a one-to-one correspondence with credal sets:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\underline{P}} = \{ p \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{X}} : P_p(f) \ge \underline{P}(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}) \},\\ \underline{P}(f) = \min\{P_p(f) : p \in \mathscr{M}_P\} \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}).$$

We denote the set of all credal sets on \mathscr{X} by $\underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$.

We partition $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ in three disjoint subsets

- 1. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all linear previsions
- 2. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all fully imprecise lower previsions
- 3. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all partially imprecise lower previsions

We partition $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ in three disjoint subsets

- 1. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all linear previsions
- 2. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all fully imprecise lower previsions
- 3. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all partially imprecise lower previsions

Linear previsions

$$\underline{P} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X}) \iff \mathscr{M}_{\underline{P}} = \{p\}, \text{ with } p \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{X}}$$



We partition $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ in three disjoint subsets

- 1. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all linear previsions
- 2. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all fully imprecise lower previsions
- 3. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all partially imprecise lower previsions

Fully imprecise lower previsions

$$\underline{P} \in \underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X}) \iff (\forall i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}) \ \underline{P}(\mathbb{I}_{\{x_i\}}) = 0$$



We partition $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ in three disjoint subsets

- 1. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all linear previsions
- 2. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all fully imprecise lower previsions
- 3. $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$: the set of all partially imprecise lower previsions

Partially imprecise lower previsions

 $\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})\!:=\!\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})\!\setminus\!\{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})\cup\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})\}$



Extreme lower previsions

A coherent lower prevision $\underline{P} \in \underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$ is called extreme if it is not possible to find \underline{P}_1 and \underline{P}_2 in $\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$, with $\underline{P}_1 \neq \underline{P}_2$, and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\underline{P} = \lambda \underline{P}_1 + (1-\lambda)\underline{P}_2$, meaning that

$$\underline{P}(f) = \lambda \underline{P}_1(f) + (1 - \lambda) \underline{P}_2(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}).$$

What is the set $\underline{\operatorname{ext}}\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ of all extreme lower previsions on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$?

Extreme lower previsions

A coherent lower prevision $\underline{P} \in \underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$ is called extreme if it is not possible to find \underline{P}_1 and \underline{P}_2 in $\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$, with $\underline{P}_1 \neq \underline{P}_2$, and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\underline{P} = \lambda \underline{P}_1 + (1-\lambda)\underline{P}_2$, meaning that

$$\underline{P}(f) = \lambda \underline{P}_1(f) + (1 - \lambda) \underline{P}_2(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}).$$

What is the set $\underline{\operatorname{ext}}\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ of all extreme lower previsions on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$?

A credal set $\mathscr{M} \in \underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$ is called extreme if it is not possible to find \mathscr{M}_1 and \mathscr{M}_2 in $\underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$, with $\mathscr{M}_1 \neq \mathscr{M}_2$, and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathscr{M} = \lambda \mathscr{M}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathscr{M}_2$, meaning that

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2 \colon p_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ and } p_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2\}.$$

What is the set $\underline{\mathsf{ext}} \underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$ of all extreme credal sets on \mathscr{X} ?

Extreme lower previsions

A coherent lower prevision $\underline{P} \in \underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$ is called extreme if it is not possible to find \underline{P}_1 and \underline{P}_2 in $\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$, with $\underline{P}_1 \neq \underline{P}_2$, and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\underline{P} = \lambda \underline{P}_1 + (1-\lambda)\underline{P}_2$, meaning that

$$\underline{P}(f) = \lambda \underline{P}_1(f) + (1 - \lambda) \underline{P}_2(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}).$$

What is the set $\underline{\operatorname{ext}}\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ of all extreme lower previsions on $\mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$?

$$\underline{P} \in \mathrm{ext}\,\underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X}) \Leftrightarrow \mathscr{M}_{\underline{P}} \in \mathrm{ext}\,\underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$$

A credal set $\mathscr{M} \in \underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$ is called extreme if it is not possible to find \mathscr{M}_1 and \mathscr{M}_2 in $\underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$, with $\mathscr{M}_1 \neq \mathscr{M}_2$, and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\mathscr{M} = \lambda \mathscr{M}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathscr{M}_2$, meaning that

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2 \colon p_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ and } p_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2\}.$$

What is the set $\underline{\mathsf{ext}} \underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$ of all extreme credal sets on \mathscr{X} ?

Extreme lower previsions are never partially imprecise

Any partially imprecise lower prevision $\underline{P} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ can be uniquely written as a convex combination $\lambda P_1 + (1 - \lambda)\underline{P}_2$ of a linear prevision $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ and a fully imprecise lower prevision $\underline{P}_2 \in \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$.

$$\begin{split} P_1(f) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \underline{P}(\mathbb{I}_{\{x_i\}}) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}) \\ \underline{P}_2(f) &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \underline{P}(f) - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} P_1(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X}) \end{split} \qquad \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{P}(\mathbb{I}_{\{x_i\}}) \end{split}$$



Extreme lower previsions: the linear ones

A linear prevision $P \in \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{X})$ is extreme if and only if it is degenerate. Furthermore, any other linear prevision can be uniquely written as a convex combination of these degenerate ones.

$$P(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) P_i^{\circ}(f) \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$$

 $P_i^{\circ}(f) := f(x_i)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$



Extreme lower previsions: the fully imprecise ones

A fully imprecise lower prevision $\underline{P} \in \underline{\mathbb{P}}(\mathscr{X})$ is extreme if and only if its projected credal set $K_{\underline{P}}$ is Minkowski indecomposable.



Minkowski decomposition



Extreme lower previsions: n = 1, $\mathscr{X} = \{x\}$

A variable that can assume only one value has no uncertainty associated with it...

Extreme lower previsions: n = 2, $\mathscr{X} = \{x_1, x_2\}$

The linear extreme lower previsions are the degenerate ones.



There is only one fully imprecise extreme lower prevision.



There are no partially imprecise extreme lower previsions.

$$|-----| = 1/2 |----+ 1/2 |------|$$

Extreme lower previsions: n = 3, $\mathscr{X} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$

Silverman, R. *Decomposition of plane convex sets, part I.* Pacific Journal of Mathematics 47, 521–530 (1973)

For possibility spaces $\mathscr{X} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ containing only three elements, a fully imprecise lower prevision $\underline{P} \in \underline{\mathbb{M}}(\mathscr{X})$ is extreme if and only if it is the lower envelope of three linear previsions.



Extreme lower previsions: n > 3

It gets rather more complicated! However, quite a lot of results are available... We refer to the literature on Minkowski decomposition.



Grünbaum, B. *Convex polytopes.* Springer, 2nd edition (2003)



Meyer, W. Indecomposable polytopes. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 190, 77–86 (1974)



Sallee, G.T.

Minkowski decomposition of convex sets. Israel Journal of Mathematics 12, 266–276 (1972)

Decomposing non-extreme lower previsions

Decomposition of a partially imprecise lower prevision, n = 3



Decomposing non-extreme lower previsions

Decomposition of a fully imprecise lower prevision, n = 3



$$\underline{P}(f) = \int_0^1 \underline{P}_{\lambda}(f) \mathrm{d}\lambda \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{X})$$

Monte Carlo with imprecise probabilities!



Further reading

Coherent lower previsions



Miranda, E.

A survey of the theory of coherent lower previsions. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 48(2), 628–658 (2008)

Walley, P. Statistical Reasoning with Imprecise Probabilities. Chapman and Hall, London (1991)



Troffaes, M., De Cooman, G. *Lower Previsions*. Wiley & Sons (soon to be published!)

Further reading

Representation results, extreme lower previsions, ...



Maaß, S.

Exact functionals, functionals preserving linear inequalities, Lévv's metric. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bremen (2003)

Quaeghebeur, E.

Characterizing the set of coherent lower previsions with a finite number of constraints or vertices.

Proceedings of UAI 2010, 466–473 (2010)

Quaeghebeur, E., De Cooman, G. Extreme lower probabilities. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 159, 2163–2175 (2008)

Further reading

Minkowski decomposition



Grünbaum, B. Convex polytopes. Springer, 2nd edition (2003)



Meyer, W.

Indecomposable polytopes.

Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 190, 77–86 (1974)



Sallee, G.T.

Minkowski decomposition of convex sets. Israel Journal of Mathematics 12, 266–276 (1972)



Silverman, R.

Decomposition of plane convex sets, part I. Pacific Journal of Mathematics 47, 521–530 (1973)