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An acyclic domain of linear orders is a set of linear orders defined on a n-set where the use of majority rule never induces an “effet Condorcet” : the (strict) majority relation of every profile of linear orders taken in an acyclic domain is always a (linear or not) order. Then, the majority rule applied on such a domain defines an aggregation rule satisfying all the good properties (transitivity of the collective preference, anonymity, symmetry, independence, monotonicity, unanimity, . . .). The first acyclic domain was discovered by Black (1948) whereas many others were discovered and/or characterized by Guilbaud (1952), Ward (1965), Frey (1971), Blin (1973), Romero (1978), Kim and Roush (1980), Abello (1984,1985), Arrow and Raynaud (1986), Chameni-Nembua (1989), Craven (1992) or Fishburn (1992,1997,2002). An important problem raised in these researches was to find the maximum size of an acyclic domain on a n-set (during a long time it was conjectured to be $2^n - 1$, a very wrong conjecture). Linear orders defined on a n-set are one-to-one with the permutations of this set. The set of these permutations endowed with the weak Bruhat order is the permutoèdre lattice $S_n$ (Guilbaud et Rosenstiehl, [7]). So an acyclic domain is a subset of this lattice. From 1989 Chameni-Nembua ([4]) showed that every distributive sublattice of $S_n$ preserving the covering relation of this lattice is an acyclic domain. Galambos et Reiner ([6]) have recently shown that almost all the acyclic domains already obtained are distributive sublattices of $S_n$ preserving the covering relation, a result unifying many previous results. For example this kind of acyclic domain can be obtained from a maximal chain of the lattice $S_n$ ([1], [2]) and is characterized by the exclusion of some ordered triples ([5], [6]) . In our talk we will first present the main results on the acyclic domains of this kind and we will give some clues on their proofs (which can be direct or to rely on the theory of higher Bruhat orders ([9] ). Then we will specify these results for three particular cases : the domains formed by a maximal chain of $S^n$, those given by Fishburn’s alternating scheme ([5]) and those discovered by Black ([3]). We will also give the
state of the question and some conjectures on the -indeed very difficult- problem of
the maximum size of an acyclic domain.
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